

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,574	04/12/2005	Jialin Wu	42085-00033USPX	4515
23932 7	590 06/14/2006	EXAMINER		INER
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC			LAXTON, GARY L	
1445 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75202			2838	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,574	WU, JIALIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary L. Laxton	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 October 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 recites "its preamble portion". This is vague and indefinite and should be deleted from the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the center point" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the DC source" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "rectifier filter circuit" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stemmler et al (US 6,288,915) in view of Sanger et al (US 3,512,045).

Stemmler et al discloses rectifier (1); filter (Cc); differential mode suppressors (Lf); filter capacitor group (Cf).

However, Stemmler et al do not disclose a magnetic ring surrounding the output lines so that the output lines wind parallel therethrough.

Sanger et al teaches using a magnetic ring surrounding three phase wires (15-17) such that the wires wind in parallel in order to sense currents through the wires.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a magnetic rings such that the output lines wind parallel to the magnetic ring in order to sense currents through the output lines as taught by Sanger et al.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6,281,777 Aufleger et al disclose a magnetic ring surrounding conductors; US 5,850,114 Froidevaux disclose a magnetic cylinder surrounding

Application/Control Number: 10/511,574 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

conductors; US 5,321,373 Shusterman et al disclose a differential/common mode filter; US 4,806,896 Shikano et al disclose an electromagnetic shield.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on (571) 272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary L. Laxton
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2838